

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/763,481	01/23/2004	Dennis Hoffmann SR.	29833/39384	7037
75	590 11/02/2005		EXAMINER	
MICHAEL R. HULL MILLER, MATTHIAS & HULL ONE NORTH FRANKLIN STREET SUITE 2350 CHICAGO, IL 60606			AHMAD, NASSER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772	
			DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/763,481	HOFFMANN ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Nasser Ahmad	1772			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ja	nuary 2004.				
2a)[·—	action is non-final.				
3)						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-16</u> is/are withdrawn Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary				
2) Notice	the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 4/29/04.	Paper No(s)/Mail D				

Application/Control Number: 10/763,481

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I (claims 1-4) in the reply filed on October 21, 2005 is acknowledged. In the absence of any ground(s) of traversal, the requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 and 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Torrey (3741786).

Torrey relates to a device comprising a sheet of release paper having a first side coated with first silicone and coated intermittently with pressure sensitive adhesive (PSA). The sheet also has a second side which is coated with silicone and the sheet is provided ina roll form. See col. 1, lines 10-14; col. 1,ine 69 to col. 2, line10; col. Col. 3, lines 20-25; col. 4, lines 24-26 and 37-39.

The PSA is in a dotted pattern (figure-1 and col. 3, lines 62-68). The precise configuration of the PSA pattern is not critical as mentioned in col. 3, lines 57-60. The preamble phrase "A repair device" has not been given patentable weight because it has been held that a preamble is denied the effect of a limitation where the claim is drawn to a structure and the portion of the claim following the preamble is a self

Application/Control Number: 10/763,481

Art Unit: 1772

contained description of the structure not depending for completeness upon the introductory clause. *Kropa v. Robie*, 88 USPQ 478 (CCPA 1951).

Further, the process conditions such as "subsequently coated", etc. and the intended use phrases such as "wherein the engagement of the first side...PSA to said surface", etc. have not been given any patentable weight because said phrases are not found to be of positive limitations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Torrey. Torrey, as discussed above, fails to teach that the PSA is in a swirl pattern. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Torrey by providing swirl pattern of PSA to form a change in the aesthetic design, because such a change would have involved a mere change in the design of the adhesive pattern. A change in design is generally recognized to be within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Seid*, 73 USPQ 431.

Application/Control Number: 10/763,481

Art Unit: 1772

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nasser Ahmad whose telephone number is 571-272-1487. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM, and on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nasser Ahmad 10/31/05
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1772

N. Ahmad. October 31, 2005.